

GENERALISATION OF HERMITE-HADAMARD LIKE INEQUALITIES FOR (*α,β,γ,µ*)−**CONVEX FUNCTIONS IN MIXED KIND WITH APPLICATIONS**

Faraz Mehmood^{1,2*}, Sumaira Yousuf Khan², Faisal Nawaz², Muhammad Awais Shaikh³, Mateen Ahmed Ghaznavi⁴, Akhmadjon Soleev¹

¹Department of Mathematics, Samarkand State University, University, Samarkand, Uzbekistan ²Department of Mathematics, Dawood University of Engineering and Technology, Karachi, Pakistan ³Department of Mathematics, University of Karachi, Karachi, Pakistan ⁴IIEE (Institute of Industrial Electronics Engineering), University Road, Karachi, Pakistan *Corresponding Author: Faraz Mehmood

Abstract. In the current paper, few generalised inequalities of Hermite-Hadamard like for functions whose modulus of the derivatives are (α,β,γ,µ)−convex in mixed kind and applications for theory of Probability & Numerical integration are deduced. Several established consequences of several published will capture as especial cases. Moreover, we deduce more especial cases of the class of (α,β,γ,µ)−convex function on various choices of α,β,γ,µ.

1. **Introduction and Definition**

About the features of convex functions, we code some lines from [16] 'Numerous problems in applied and pure mathematics involve convex functions. They act extremely crucial role in research of problems of non-linear and linear programming. The convex functions' theory falls under the broader topic of convexity. However, this theory significantly affects practically every area of mathematical sciences. One of the earliest areas of mathematics where the concept of convexity is necessary for graphic analysis. Calculus provides us with a useful technique, the second derivative test, to identify convexity'.

We must generalise the idea of convex functions in order to generalise Ostrowski's inequality. In this way, we may quickly identify the generalisations and specific instances of the inequality. We recollect several definitions from the literature [2] for various convex functions.

Date: September, 2024.

²⁰¹⁰ *MSC.* 26A51, 33B15, 26D10, 26D15, 26D20.

Keywords. Convex function, Hermite-Hadamard inequality, Power-mean inequality, Hölder inequality, Beta, Gamma function, Numerical integration, Probability density function.

Definition 1.1. A function $g: K \subseteq \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is known as convex, if $q(\tau y + (1 - \tau)z) \le \tau q(y) + (1 - \tau)q(z)$, (1.1) ∀ *z, y* ∈ *K, τ* ∈ [0*,*1].

We remind term of *P*−convex function (see [4]).

Definition 1.2. Any function $q : K \subseteq \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is known as *P*−convex, if $q(\tau y + (1 - \tau)z) \leq q(y) + q(z), \quad q \geq 0$ (1.2) ∀ *z, y* ∈ *K, τ* ∈ [o*,*1].

We remind definition of quasi-convex function from [7].

Definition 1.3. A function $g: K \subseteq \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is said to be quasi-convex, if

$$
g(\tau y + (1 - \tau)z) \le \max\{g(z), g(y)\}\
$$
 (1.3)

∀ *y, z* ∈ *K, τ* ∈ [0*,*1].

Now we present term of s−convex function (see [15]).

Definition 1.4. Suppose $s \in (0,1]$. A function $q : K ⊆ [0,∞) \rightarrow [0,∞)$ is said to be *s*−convex in the $1st$ kind, if

$$
g(\tau y + (1 - \tau)z) \le \tau^{s} g(y) + (1 - \tau^{s})g(z), \tag{1.4}
$$

∀ *y, z* ∈ *K, τ* ∈ [0*,*1].

Remark 1.5*.* If we include *s*=0 in the above then obtain the term of quasi-convex.

For second kind convexitY we recall term (see [15]).

Definition 1.6. Let *s* ∈ (0,1]. A function *g* : $K \subseteq [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is said to be *s*−convex in the 2 nd kind, if

$$
g(\tau y + (1 - \tau)z) \le \tau^s g(y) + (1 - \tau)^s g(z), \qquad (1.5)
$$

∀ *y, z* ∈ *K, τ* ∈ [0*,*1].

Remark 1.7*.* If we include *s* = 0 in the above then obtain the term of *P*−convex.

Definition 1.8. [8] Let $(s,r) \in [0,1]^2$. A function $g : K \subseteq [0,\infty) \rightarrow [0,\infty)$ is said to be (*s,r*)−convex in the mixed kind, if

$$
g(\tau y + (1 - \tau)z) \le \tau^{rs} g(y) + (1 - \tau^r)^s g(z), \tag{1.6}
$$

∀ *z, y* ∈ *K, τ* ∈ [0*,*1].

Definition 1.9. [6] Suppose $(\alpha, \beta) \in [0, 1]^2$. A function $g : K \subseteq [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ is said to be (α, β) −convex in the 1st kind, if

$$
g(\tau y + (1 - \tau)z) \le \tau^{\alpha} g(y) + (1 - \tau^{\beta})g(z), \tag{1.7}
$$

∀ *z, y* ∈ *K, τ* ∈ [0*,*1].

Definition 1.10. [6] Let $(\alpha, \beta) \in [0,1]^2$. A function $g : K \subseteq [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ is said to be (*α,β*)−convex in the 2nd kind, if

∀ *z, y* ∈ *K, τ* ∈ [0*,*1].

Now the next important definition of $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \mu)$ –convex function which is sequentially used in this article and it is extracted from [8].

Definition 1.11. Let $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \mu) \in [0,1]^4$. A function $g : K \subseteq [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ is known as $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \mu)$ –convex in the mixed kind, if

$$
g(\tau y + (1 - \tau)z) \le (1 - \tau^{\beta})^{\mu} g(z) + \tau^{\alpha \gamma} g(y), \qquad (1.9)
$$

∀ *y, z* ∈ *K, τ* ∈ [0*,*1].

Remark 1.12*.* The following scenarios are found in Definition 1*.*11 as especial cases.

- (i) The (α, β) −convex function in 1st kind is obtained if choose $\gamma = \mu = 1$ & $\alpha, \beta \in [0,1]$ in (1*.*9).
- (ii) The (α, μ) −convex function in 2nd kind is obtained if choose $\beta = \gamma = 1$ & $\alpha, \mu \in [0,1]$ in (1*.*9).
- (iii) The (s,r) −convex function in mixed kind is obtained if choose $\alpha = \mu = s$, $\beta = 1$, $\gamma = r$, here $s, r \in (0,1]$ in (1.9).
- (iv) The *s*−convex function in 1st kind is obtained if choose $\alpha = \beta = s$ and $\gamma = \mu = 1$, here $s \in$ (0*,*1] in (1*.*9).
- (v) The quasi–convex function is obtained if choose $\alpha = \beta = 0$, $\& \gamma = \mu = 1$ in (1.9).
- (vi) The *s*−convex function in 2nd kind is obtained if choose $\alpha = \mu = s$, $\beta = \gamma = 1$, here *s* ∈ (0*,*1] in (1*.*9).
- (vii) The *P*−convex function is obtained if choose $\alpha = \mu = 0$ & $\beta = \gamma = 1$ in (1.9).
- (viii) The ordinary convex function is obtained if choose $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = \mu = 1$ in (1.9).

In practically all scientific fields, inequalities play a major impact. Our primary target is on Hermite–hadamard like inequalities, despite the discipline's enormous scope.

The convexity theory is closely connected to the inequalities theory. Many well-known Inequalities in literature consequence directly from applying convex functions. The Hermitehadamard equation is a notable equation for convexity that has been thoroughly researched in recent decades. It is discovered independently by Hadamard & Hermite and it is stated as follows: Any Function $g : K \subseteq \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be convex, here $k, j \in K$ along $j \leq k$, If & only if

$$
g\left(\frac{j+k}{2}\right) \le \frac{1}{k-j} \int_{j}^{k} g(y) dy \le \frac{g(j)+g(k)}{2},\tag{1.10}
$$

this is known as inequality of Hermite-Hadamard. Eq. (1.10) has become a crucial pillar in the area of probability & optimization. Additionally, numerous researchers have refined or generalised equation (1.10) for convex, s-convex, & various other varieties of functions. Regarding the history of this inequality, we must see [14].

In [5], the following consequence had derived by Agarwal & Dragomir, which includes the Hermite-Hadamard like integral equation.

Proposition 1.13. *Let g* : *K*^o⊆ ℝ → ℝ *be differentiable mappinG in interior K^o ^of K, here k,j* ∈ K° along $k > j$. If $|g'|$ is convex in interval $[j,k]$. Then the below equation holds

$$
\left|\frac{g(j)+g(k)}{2}-\frac{1}{k-j}\int_{j}^{k}g(u)du\right|\leq\frac{(k-j)(|g'(j)|+|g'(k)|)}{8}.\tag{1.11}
$$

For additional current consequences on Hermite-Hadamard like equations involving various classes of convexity, refer to [1, 3, 9, 10, 12, 14, 19].

In 2011, Kavurmaci et. al. [9] established few equations of Hermite-Hadamard like for convexity & applications by utilizing Hölder inequality & Powermean inequality. In 2016, Liu et. al. [12] established few novel equations of Hermite-Hadamard like for *MT*−convexity via classical integrals & Riemann-Liouville fractional integrals, respectively. In 2023, Mehmood et. al. [13] established few novel generalised inequalities of Hermite-Hadamard like for (s,r) −convex functions & applications by Power-mean & Hölder inequality.

The primary goal of the article is to generalise few Hermite-Hadamard like inequalities to $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \mu)$ -convexity in mixed kind via classical integrals by employing the H ölder & Powermean inequalities. The applications also encompass areas such as probability $\&$ numerical integration. We will capture some findings of various articles $[5, 9, 13]$ & also examine especial cases of class of (*α,β,γ,µ*)−convex function on different choices of *α,β,γ,µ* as remarks.

2. **Generalisation of Hermite-Hadamard Like Inequalities**

Regarding proof of primary findings, below Lemma (see [9]) is required.

Lemma 2.1. *Let* $g : K ⊆ ℝ → ℝ$ *be differentiable mapping in interval* $K^{\circ} ⊂ ℝ$ *here* $k, j ∈ K$ *along* $j < k$. If $g \in L[j,k]$, then

$$
\frac{(k-y)g(k)+(y-j)g(j)}{k-j} - \frac{1}{k-j} \int_{j}^{k} g(u)du
$$

=
$$
\frac{(y-j)^2}{k-j} \int_{0}^{1} (1-\tau)g'(\tau y + (1-\tau)j) d\tau + \frac{(k-y)^2}{k-j} \int_{0}^{1} (1-\tau)g'(\tau y + (1-\tau)k) d\tau.
$$

The below consequences may be derived by employing Lemma 2*.*1

Theorem 2.2. *Let* $g : K \subseteq \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ *be differentiable mapping in interval* $K^o \subset \mathbb{R}$ ε $g' \in L[j, k]$, *here k,j* ∈ *K along k>j. If* |*g*' | *is* (*α,β,γ,µ*)− *convex in [j,k], then*

$$
\frac{\left| (k - y)g(k) + (y - j)g(j) - \frac{1}{k - j} \int_{j}^{k} g(u) du \right|}{\leq \frac{(y - j)^{2}}{k - j} \left[\frac{|g'(y)|}{(\alpha \gamma + 1)(\alpha \gamma + 2)} + \frac{|g'(j)|}{\beta} \left(B\left(\frac{1}{\beta}, \mu + 1\right) - B\left(\frac{2}{\beta}, \mu + 1\right) \right) \right] + \frac{(k - y)^{2}}{k - j} \left[\frac{|g'(y)|}{(\alpha \gamma + 1)(\alpha \gamma + 2)} + \frac{|g'(k)|}{\beta} \left(B\left(\frac{1}{\beta}, \mu + 1\right) - B\left(\frac{2}{\beta}, \mu + 1\right) \right) \right]
$$

for every $g \in [j, k]$ & $\beta > 0$.

Proof. Utilizing Lemma 2*.*1 & taking Modulus, then

$$
\left| \frac{(k-y)g(k)+(y-j)g(j)}{k-j} - \frac{1}{k-j} \int_{j}^{k} g(u)du \right|
$$
\n
$$
\leq \frac{(y-j)^{2}}{k-j} \int_{0}^{1} (1-\tau)|g'(\tau y+(1-\tau)j|d\tau + \frac{(k-y)^{2}}{k-j} \int_{0}^{1} (1-\tau)|g'(\tau y+(1-\tau)k)|d\tau
$$
\nSince $|g'|$ is $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \mu)$ -convex in mixed kind, then\n
$$
\left| \frac{(k-y)g(k)+(y-j)g(j)}{k-j} - \frac{1}{k-j} \int_{j}^{k} g(u)du \right|
$$
\n
$$
\leq \frac{(y-j)^{2}}{k-j} \int_{0}^{1} (1-\tau)[\tau^{\alpha\gamma}|g'(y)|+(1-\tau^{\beta})^{\mu}g'(j)]d\tau
$$
\n
$$
+ \frac{(k-y)^{2}}{k-j} \int_{0}^{1} (1-\tau)[\tau^{\alpha\gamma}|g'(y)|+(1-\tau^{\beta})^{\mu}|g'(k)|]d\tau
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{(y-j)^{2}}{k-j} \left[\frac{|g'(y)|}{(\alpha\gamma+1)(\alpha\gamma+2)} + \frac{|g'(j)|}{\beta} \left(\beta \left(\frac{1}{\beta}, \mu+1 \right) - \beta \left(\frac{2}{\beta}, \mu+1 \right) \right) \right]
$$
\n
$$
+ \frac{(k-y)^{2}}{k-j} \left[\frac{|g'(y)|}{(\alpha\gamma+1)(\alpha\gamma+2)} + \frac{|g'(k)|}{\beta} \left(\beta \left(\frac{1}{\beta}, \mu+1 \right) - \beta \left(\frac{2}{\beta}, \mu+1 \right) \right) \right]
$$

thus finished the proof.

Note: Where *B* is Beta function, symbolically described as $B(l,m) = \int_0^1 \tau^{l-1} (1-\tau)^{m-1} d\tau =$ \boldsymbol{o} $\Gamma(l)\Gamma(m)$ $\frac{U(1)(m)}{\Gamma(l+m)}$. Since $\Gamma(l) = \int_0^\infty e^{-u} u^{l-1} du$.

Remark 2.3*.* The following scenarios are found in Theorem 2*.*2 as especial cases.

- (i) The (α, β) −convex function in 1st kind is obtained if choose $\gamma = \mu = 1 \& \alpha$, $\beta \in [0, 1]$ in Theorem 2*.*2.
- (ii) The (α, μ) −convex function in 2nd kind is obtained if choose $\beta = \gamma = 1 \& \alpha$, $\mu \in [0, 1]$ in Theorem 2*.*2.
- (iii) The *s*−convex function in 1st kind is obtained if choose $\alpha = \beta = s$ & $\gamma = \mu = 1$, here $s \in$ (0*,*1] in Theorem 2*.*2.
- (iv) The quasi−convex function is obtained if choose $\alpha = \beta = 0$ & $\gamma = \mu = 1$ in Theorem 2.2.
- (v) The *s*−convex function in 2nd kind is obtained if choose $\alpha = \mu = s$, $\beta = \gamma = 1$, here $s \in$ (0*,*1] in Theorem 2*.*2.
- (vi) The *P*−convex function is obtained if we choose $\alpha = \mu = 0$ and $\beta = \gamma = 1$ in Theorem 2*.*2.

Remark 2.4*.* We attain the Theorem 2.2 of [13] if choose $\alpha = \mu = s$, $\beta = 1$, $\gamma = r$, where *s*, $r \in$ (0*,*1] in Theorem 2*.*2.

Remark 2.5. We attain the Theorem 4 of [9] if choose $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = \mu = 1$ in Theorem 2.2.

Corollary 2.6. *In Theorem* 2.2*, choosing* $y = \frac{J+k}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ *we get*

Remark 2.7*.* Few Remarks regarding Corollary 2*.*6 are below as especial cases.

- (i) By utilizing the convexity property of $|g'|$ in Corollary 2.6, we get established equation (1*.*11) (capture Theorem 2.2 of article [5]).
- (ii) The (α, β) −convex function in the 1st kind is obtained if we choose $\gamma = \mu = 1 \& \alpha$, $\beta \in$ [0*,*1] in Corollary 2*.*6.
- (iii) The (α, μ) −convex function in the 2nd kind is obtained if we choose $\beta = \gamma = 1 \& \alpha$, $\mu \in$ [0*,*1] in Corollary 2*.*6.
- (iv) The *s*−convex function in the 1st kind is obtained if we choose $\alpha = \beta = s$ & $\gamma = \mu = 1$ where $s \in (0,1]$ in Corollary 2.6.
- (v) The quasi-convex function is obtained if we choose $\alpha = \beta = 0$ & $\gamma = \mu = 1$ in Corollary 2*.*6.
- (vi) The *s*−convex function in the 2nd kind is obtained if we choose $\alpha = \mu = s$, $\beta = \gamma = 1$ where $s \in (0,1]$ in Corollary 2.6.
- (vii) The *P*−convex function is obtained if we choose $\alpha = \mu = 0$ and $\beta = \gamma = 1$ in Corollary 2*.*6.

Remark 2.8*.* If choose $\alpha = \mu = s$, $\beta = 1$, $\gamma = r$, where *s*, $r \in (0,1]$ in Corollary 2.6, we attain the Corollary 2.5 of [13].

Remark 2.9. If we choose $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = \mu = 1$ in Corollary 2.6, we attain Corollary 2 of [9].

Theorem 2.10. *Let* $g : K \subseteq \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ *be differentiable mapping in interval* $K^o \subset \mathbb{R}$ ε $g' \in L[j, k]$, h ere $k, j \in K$ along $j \leq k$. If $|g'|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}$ is (a, β, γ, μ) -convex in interval $[j, k]$ & for few fixed $1 \leq q$, *then*

$$
\left| \frac{(k-y)g(k) + (y-j)g(j)}{k-j} - \frac{1}{k-j} \int_{j}^{k} g(u) du \right|
$$

\n
$$
\leq \frac{1}{k-j} \left(\frac{1}{p+1} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[(y-j)^2 \left(\frac{|g'(y)|^q}{(\alpha \gamma + 1)} + \frac{|g'(j)|^q}{\beta} B \left(\frac{1}{\beta}, \mu + 1 \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}
$$

\n
$$
+ (k-y)^2 \left(\frac{|g'(y)|^q}{(\alpha \gamma + 1)} + \frac{|g'(k)|^q}{\beta} B \left(\frac{1}{\beta}, \mu + 1 \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}
$$

for every $q \in [i,k]$ *&* $\beta > 0$ *.*

Proof. Utilizing Lemma 2.1 & (α,β,γ,μ)−convexity of |*g*'| & then implementing the widely recognized Hölder inequality, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}\n&\text{SSN No: }1008-0562 \qquad \text{Natural Science Edition} \\
&\frac{|k-y)g(k)+ (y-j)g(j)}{k-j} - \frac{1}{k-j} \int_{j}^{k} g(u)du \\
&\leq \frac{(y-j)^{2}}{k-j} \int_{0}^{1} (1-\tau)|g'(ry+(1-\tau)j|d\tau + \frac{(k-y)^{2}}{k-j} \int_{0}^{1} (1-\tau)|g'(ry+(1-\tau)k)|d\tau \\
&\leq \frac{(y-j)^{2}}{k-j} \int_{0}^{1} (1-\tau)|\tau^{\alpha y}|g'(y)| + (1-\tau^{\beta})^{\mu}|g'(j)|d\tau \\
&+ \frac{(k-y)^{2}}{k-j} \int_{0}^{1} (1-\tau)|\tau^{\alpha y}|g'(y)| + (1-\tau^{\beta})^{\mu}|g'(k)|d\tau \\
&\leq \frac{(y-j)^{2}}{k-j} \left(\int_{0}^{1} (1-\tau)^{p} d\tau\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[\int_{0}^{1} (\tau^{\alpha y}|g'(y)| + (1-\tau^{\beta})^{\mu}|g'(j)|^{q} d\tau\right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&+ \frac{(k-y)^{2}}{k-j} \left(\int_{0}^{1} (1-\tau)^{p} d\tau\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[\int_{0}^{1} (\tau^{\alpha y}|g'(y)| + (1-\tau^{\beta})^{\mu}|g'(y)|^{q} d\tau\right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&\leq \frac{(y-j)^{2}}{k-j} \left(\int_{0}^{1} (1-\tau)^{p} d\tau\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[\int_{0}^{1} \tau^{\alpha y}|g'(y)|^{q} d\tau + \int_{0}^{1} (1-\tau^{\beta})^{\mu}|g'(j)|^{q} d\tau\right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&+ \frac{(k-y)^{2}}{k-j} \left(\int_{0}^{1} (1-\tau)^{p} d\tau\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[\int_{0}^{1} \tau^{\alpha y}|g'(y)|^{q} d\tau + \int_{0}^{1} (1-\tau^{\beta})^{\mu}|g'(y)|^{q} d\tau\right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{k-j} \left(\frac{1}{p} \int_{0}^{1} (1-\tau)^{p} d\tau
$$

Remark 2.11*.* Since we have provided remarks (i) through (vi) for Theorem 2.2, all of the remarks employ to Theorem 2.10.

Remark 2.12*.* We attain the Theorem 2.8 of [13] if choose $\alpha = \mu = s$, $\beta = 1$, $\gamma = r$, here *s*, *r* ∈ (0*,*1] in Theorem 2*.*10.

Remark 2.13*.* We attain Theorem 5 of [9] if choose $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = \mu = 1$ in Theorem 2.10.

Corollary 2.14. In Theorem 2.10, choosing
$$
y = \frac{j+k}{2}
$$
 we get
\n
$$
\left| \frac{g(j) + g(k)}{2} - \frac{1}{k - j} \int_{j}^{k} g(u) du \right|
$$
\n
$$
\leq \frac{k - j}{4} \left(\frac{1}{p + 1} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[\left(\frac{|g'(j)|^q}{\beta} B\left(\frac{1}{\beta}, \mu + 1\right) + \frac{\left| g'\left(\frac{j+k}{2}\right) \right|^q}{\alpha \gamma + 1} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} + \left(\frac{|g'(k)|^q}{\beta} B\left(\frac{1}{\beta}, \mu + 1\right) + \frac{\left| g'\left(\frac{j+k}{2}\right) \right|^q}{\alpha \gamma + 1} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right]
$$

Remark 2.15*.* Since we have provided remarks (ii) through (vii) for Corollary 2*.*6, all of the remarks employ to Corollary 2*.*14.

Remark 2.16*.* We capture the Corollary 2.11 of [13] if choose $\alpha = \mu = s$, $\beta = 1$, $\gamma = r$, here *s*, $r \in$ (0*,*1] in Corollary 2*.*14,.

Remark 2.17*.* We capture the Corollary 3 of [9] if choose $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = \mu = 1$ in Corollary 2.14.

Theorem 2.18. *Let* $g : K \subseteq \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ *be differentiable mapping on* $K^o \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ ε $g' \in L[j, k]$, here j, k ∈ *K along j<k. If* |*g*' | *^qis* (*α,β,γ,µ*)−*convex on [j,k] & for few fixed* 1 ≤*q, then*

$$
\frac{\left| \frac{(k-y)g(k)+(y-j)g(j)}{k-j} - \frac{1}{k-j} \int_j^k g(u) du \right|}{\left| \frac{2^{1-\frac{1}{q}}(k-j)}{2^{1-\frac{1}{q}}(k-j)} \right| (y-j)^2 \left(\frac{|g'(y)|^q}{(\alpha \gamma + 1)(\alpha \gamma + 2)} + \frac{|g'(j)|^q}{\beta} \left(B\left(\frac{1}{\beta}, \mu + 1\right) - B\left(\frac{2}{\beta}, \mu + 1\right) \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}
$$
\n
$$
+ (k-y)^2 \left(\frac{|g'(y)|^q}{(\alpha \gamma + 1)(\alpha \gamma + 2)} + \frac{|g'(k)|^q}{\beta} \left(B\left(\frac{1}{\beta}, \mu + 1\right) - B\left(\frac{2}{\beta}, \mu + 1\right) \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}
$$

for every $g \in [j,k]$, $q = \frac{p}{n-1}$ $\frac{p}{p-1}$ & $\beta > 0$.

Proof. Consider $1 \leq q \&$ Utilizing Lemma 2.1 & implementing the widely recognized powermean inequality, we get

$$
\begin{split}\n&\left|\frac{(k-y)g(k)+(y-j)g(j)}{k-j}-\frac{1}{k-j}\int_{j}^{k}g(u)du\right| \\
&\leq \frac{(y-j)^{2}}{k-j}\int_{0}^{1}(1-\tau)|g'(\tau y+(1-\tau)j)|d\tau+\frac{(k-y)^{2}}{k-j}\int_{0}^{1}(1-\tau)|g'(\tau y+(1-\tau)k|d\tau) \\
&\leq \frac{(y-j)^{2}}{k-j}\left(\int_{0}^{1}(1-\tau)d\tau\right)^{1-\frac{1}{q}}\left(\int_{0}^{1}(1-\tau)|g'(\tau y+(1-\tau)j)|^{q}d\tau\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
&+\frac{(k-y)^{2}}{k-j}\left(\int_{0}^{1}(1-\tau)d\tau\right)^{1-\frac{1}{q}}\left(\int_{0}^{1}(1-\tau)|g'(\tau y+(1-\tau)k|^{q}d\tau\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
&\text{Since }|g'|\text{ is }(\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\mu)-\text{convex in mixed kind & first taking term} \\
&\int_{0}^{1}(1-\tau)|g'(\tau y+(1-\tau)j|^{q}d\tau) \\
&\leq \int_{0}^{1}(1-\tau)[\tau^{\alpha\gamma}|g'(\gamma)|^{q}+(1-\tau^{\beta})^{\mu}|g'(\tau)|^{q}\right]d\tau \\
&=\frac{|g'(\gamma)|^{q}}{(\alpha\gamma+1)(\alpha\gamma+2)}+\frac{|g'(\tau)|^{q}}{\beta}\left(B\left(\frac{1}{\beta},\mu+1\right)-B\left(\frac{2}{\beta},\mu+1\right)\right) \\
&\text{Analogously,}\n\end{split}
$$

$$
\int_{o}^{1} (1 - \tau) |g'(\tau y + (1 - \tau)k)|^{q} d\tau \le \frac{|g'(y)|^{q}}{(\alpha \gamma + 1)(\alpha \gamma + 2)} + \frac{|g'(k)|^{q}}{\beta} \left(B\left(\frac{1}{\beta}, \mu + 1\right) - B\left(\frac{2}{\beta}, \mu + 1\right) \right)
$$

We obtain the desired result by combining all above inequalities.

Remark 2.19*.* Since we have provided remarks (i) through (vi) for Theorem 2.2, all of the remarks employ to Theorem 2.18.

Remark 2.2o. We attain the Theorem 2.14 of [13] if choose $\alpha = \mu = s$, $\beta = 1$, $\gamma = r$, where *s,r* \in (0*,*1] in Theorem 2*.*18.

Remark 2.21*.* We attain the Theorem 7 of [9] if choose $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = \mu = 1$ in Theorem 2.18.

Corollary 2.22. In Theorem 2.18, choosing $y = \frac{j+k}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ *we get* / $g(j) + g(k)$ $\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{k}$ 1 $\overline{k-j}\int_j\,g(u)du$ κ j / ≤ 2 $\overline{1}$ $\overline{q}^{-3}(k-j)$ \overline{a} $\mathsf I$ $\mathsf I$ $\mathsf I$ $\mathsf I$ $\mathsf I$ h i $\left(\frac{|g'(\frac{j+k}{2})|}{2} \right)$ \boldsymbol{q} $\frac{1}{(\alpha\gamma+1)(\alpha\gamma+2)} +$ $|g'(j)|^q$ $\frac{(J)|^q}{\beta}\left(B\left(\frac{1}{\beta},\mu+1\right)-B\left(\frac{2}{\beta},\mu+1\right)\right)\Bigg)$ $\frac{1}{2}$ m $1/q$ $+$ h $\left(\frac{|g'(\frac{j+k}{2})|}{2} \right)$ \boldsymbol{q} $\frac{1}{(\alpha\gamma+1)(\alpha\gamma+2)} +$ $|g'(k)|^q$ $\frac{(\kappa)|^{q}}{\beta}\left(B\left(\frac{1}{\beta},\mu+1\right)-B\left(\frac{2}{\beta},\mu+1\right)\right)\right)$ $\frac{1}{2}$ m $1/q$ a $\overline{}$ $\overline{}$ $\overline{}$ l $\overline{}$

Remark 2.23*.* Since we have provided remarks (ii) through (vii) for Corollary 2*.*6, all of the remarks employ to Corollary 2*.*22.

Remark 2.24*.* We capture the Corollary 2.17 of [13] if choose $\alpha = \mu = s$, $\beta = 1$, $\gamma = r$, here *s*, $r \in$ (0*,*1] in Corollary 2*.*22,.

Remark 2.25*.* We capture the Corollary 4 of [9] if choose $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = \mu = 1$ in Corollary 2.22.

3. **Application to Numerical Integration**

3.1. **The Trapezoidal Formula.** Let $d : j = \theta_0 < \theta_1 < \cdots < \theta_n = k$ be division of interval $[j,k]$, here $h_i = \theta_{i+1} - \theta_i$, $(i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1)$ & considering the quadrature formula

$$
\int_{j}^{k} g(u) du = Q(g,d) + R(g,d), \qquad (3.1)
$$

where

$$
Q(g,d) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} ((\theta_{i+1} - y)g(\theta_{i+1}) + (y - \theta_i)g(\theta_i))
$$

the related approximation error is represented by $R(q, d)$ for the trapezoidal variant.

Theorem 3.1. *With all the suppositions of Theorem* 2*.*2*, for every division d of the interval* [*j,k*]*. Then, the trapezoidal error estimate in equation (3.1) satisfies* $|R(g, d)|$

$$
\leq \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (\mathbf{y} - \theta_i)^2 \left[\frac{|g'(y)|}{(\alpha \gamma + 1)(\alpha \gamma + 2)} + \frac{|g'(\theta_i)|}{\beta} \left(B\left(\frac{1}{\beta}, \mu + 1\right) - B\left(\frac{2}{\beta}, \mu + 1\right) \right) \right] + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (\theta_{i+1} - y)^2 \left[\frac{|g'(y)|}{(\alpha \gamma + 1)(\alpha \gamma + 2)} + \frac{|g'(\theta_{i+1})|}{\beta} \left(B\left(\frac{1}{\beta}, \mu + 1\right) - B\left(\frac{2}{\beta}, \mu + 1\right) \right) \right]
$$

for every g \in [*j*,*k*] $\&$ β > 0.

Proof. Utilizing Theorem 2.2 on sub-interval
$$
[\theta_i, \theta_{i+1}]
$$
, here $i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, n - 1$, we get $\left| \frac{(\theta_{i+1} - y)g(\theta_{i+1}) + (y - \theta_i)g(\theta_i)}{h_i} - \frac{1}{h_i} \int_{\theta_i}^{\theta_{i+1}} g(u) \, du \right|$ \n $\leq \frac{(y - \theta_i)^2}{h_i} \left[\frac{|g'(y)|}{(\alpha y + 1)(\alpha y + 2)} + \frac{|g'(\theta_i)|}{\beta} \left(B\left(\frac{1}{\beta}, \mu + 1\right) - B\left(\frac{2}{\beta}, \mu + 1\right) \right) \right]$ \n $+ \frac{(\theta_{i+1} - y)^2}{h_i} \left[\frac{|g'(y)|}{(\alpha y + 1)(\alpha y + 2)} + \frac{|g'(\theta_{i+1})|}{\beta} \left(B\left(\frac{1}{\beta}, \mu + 1\right) - B\left(\frac{2}{\beta}, \mu + 1\right) \right) \right]$ \nNow we take summation over *i* in equation (3.1) from 0 to $n - 1$, then

Now we take summation over *i* in equation (5.1) from 6 to *h* 1, then
\n
$$
\left| \int_{j}^{k} g(u) du - Q(g, d) \right| = \left| \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left[\int_{\theta_{i}}^{\theta_{i+1}} g(u) du - ((\theta_{i+1} - y)g(\theta_{i+1}) + (y - \theta_{i})g(\theta_{i})) \right] \right|
$$
\n
$$
\leq \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left| \int_{\theta_{i}}^{\theta_{i+1}} g(u) du - ((\theta_{i+1} - y)g(\theta_{i+1}) + (y - \theta_{i})g(\theta_{i})) \right|
$$
\n
$$
\leq \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (y - \theta_{i})^{2} \left[\frac{|g'(y)|}{(\alpha y + 1)(\alpha y + 2)} + \frac{|g'(\theta_{i})|}{\beta} \left(B\left(\frac{1}{\beta}, \mu + 1\right) - B\left(\frac{2}{\beta}, \mu + 1\right) \right) \right]
$$
\n
$$
+ \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (\theta_{i+1} - y)^{2} \left[\frac{|g'(y)|}{(\alpha y + 1)(\alpha y + 2)} + \frac{|g'(\theta_{i+1})|}{\beta} \left(B\left(\frac{1}{\beta}, \mu + 1\right) - B\left(\frac{2}{\beta}, \mu + 1\right) \right) \right]
$$
\nWhich finished the proof.

Remark 3.2*.* We can also derive above similar findings for Corollary 2*.*6, Theorem 2*.*10, Corollary 2*.*14, Theorem 2*.*18 & Corollary 2*.*22.

Remark 3.3. The whole $2nd$ section's remarks are likewise applicable to Remarks 3.2 & Theorem 3.1.

4. **Applications to Probability Theory**

Assume *Y* is a random variable taking values in the finite [*j,k*] along probability density function *g* : [*j*,*k*] \rightarrow [0,1] & cumulative distribution function $H(y) = P(Y \le y) =$ $\int_j^k g(u)du$.

Theorem 4.1. *With all the suppositions of Theorem* 2*.*2*, then*

$$
|SSN No: 1008-0562
$$
\n
$$
\frac{|(k-y)H(k)+(y-j)H(j)}{k-j} - \frac{k-E(Y)}{k-j}
$$
\n
$$
\leq \frac{(y-j)^2}{k-j} \left[\frac{|H'(y)|}{(\alpha \gamma+1)(\alpha \gamma+2)} + \frac{|H'(j)|}{\beta} \left(B\left(\frac{1}{\beta}, \mu+1\right) - B\left(\frac{2}{\beta}, \mu+1\right) \right) \right]
$$
\n
$$
+ \frac{(k-y)^2}{k-j} \left[\frac{|H'(y)|}{(\alpha \gamma+1)(\alpha \gamma+2)} + \frac{|H'(k)|}{\beta} \left(B\left(\frac{1}{\beta}, \mu+1\right) - B\left(\frac{2}{\beta}, \mu+1\right) \right) \right]
$$
\n
$$
(4.1)
$$

for every g \in [*j,k*] $\&$ β > 0*. Here E(Y) is expectation of Y.*

Proof. Choose $q = H$, we acquire (4.1), by implementing the below equation in

Theorem 2.2.

$$
E(Y) = \int_{j}^{k} uH(u)du = k - \int_{j}^{k} H(u)du
$$

∵ *H*(*j*) = O & *H*(*k*) = 1.

Remark 4.3. The whole $2nd$ section's remarks are likewise applicable to Remarks 4.2 & Theorem 4.1.

5. **Conclusion**

In the current paper, we have generalised few findings regarding notoriety Hermite-Hadamard like inequalities for $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \mu)$ –convex functions in the mixed kind via classical integrals by implementing the widely recognized power-mean $\&$ Hölder's inequalities $\&$ applications for theory of Probability $\&$ numerical integration are also deduced. We captured various findings of several published articles [5, 9, 13] & further deduced few especial cases of class of (*α,β,γ,µ*)−convexity on various choices of *α,β,γ,µ* as remarks.

References

- [1] M. Alomari, M. Darus and S. S. Dragomir, Inequalities of Hermite-Hadamard's type for functions whose derivatives absolute values are quasi-convex, *RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll.*, **12** (2009), 11 pages.
- [2] E. F. Beckenbach, Convex functions, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **54** (1948), 439—460.
- [3] S. S. Dragomir, On some new inequalities of Hermite-Hadamard type for *m*−convex functions, *Tamkang J. Math.*, **33** (2002), 55–65.
- [4] S. S. Dragomir, J. Pečarić and L. Persson, Some inequalities of Hadamard type, *Soochow J. Math.*, **21**(3) (1995), 335—341.
- [5] S. S. Dragomir and R. P. Agarwal, Two inequalities for differentiable mappings and applications to special means of real numbers and to trapezoidal formula, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, **11**(5) (1998), 91—95.
- [6] A. Ekinci, Klasik Esitsizlikler Yoluyla Konveks Fonksiyonlar icin Integral Esitsizlikler, Ph.D. Thesis, Thesis ID: 361162 in tez2.yok.gov.tr Atatürk University, 2014.

- [7] E. K. Godunova and V. I. Levin, Inequalities for functions of a broad class that contains convex, monotone and some other forms of functions, *Numerical Mathematics and Mathematical Physics*, (Russian), **166** (1985), 138-–142.
- [8] Ali Hassan and Asif R. Khan, Generalized Fractional Ostrowski Type Inequalities Via (*α,β,γ,δ*)−Convex Functions, *Fractional Differential Calculus*, **12**(1) (2022), 13–36.
- [9] Havva Kavurmaci, Merve Avci and M. E. Özdemir, New inequalities of hermite-hadamard type for convex functions with applications, *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, **2011** (86) (2011), 11 pages.
- [10] U. S. Kirmaci, M. Klaričić Bakula, M. E. Özdemir and J. Pečarić, Hadamard-type inequalities for s-convex functions, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **193** (1) (2007), 26—35.
- [11] U. S. Kirmaci, Improvement and further generalization of inequalities for differentiable mappings and applications, *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, **55** (2008), 485—493.
- [12] W. Liu, W. Wen and J. Park, Hermite-Hadamard type inequalities for *MT*−convex functions via classical integrals and fractional integrals, *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.*, **9** (2016), 766–777.
- [13] Faraz Mehmood, Faisal Nawaz and Akhmadjon Soleev, Generalized Hermite-Hadamard Type Inequalities for (*s,r*)−convex functions in Mixed Kind with Applications, J. Math. Computer Sci., **30**(4) (2023), 372-380.
- [14] D. S. Mitrinović, I. B. Lacković, Hermite and convexity, *Aequationes Math.*, **28** (1) (1985), 229–232.
- [15] M. A. Noor and M. U. Awan, Some integral inequalities for two kinds of convexities via fractional integrals, *TJMM*, **55** (2013), 129–136.
- [16] Andrew Owusu-Hemeng, Peter Kwasi Sarpong and Joseph Ackora-Prah, The Role of Concave and Convex Functions in the Study of Linear & Non-Linear Programming, *Dama International Journal of Researchers*, **3** (5) (2018), 15–29.
- [17] C.E.M. Pearce and J. Pečarić, Inequalities for differentiable mappings with application to special means and quadrature formula, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, **13** (2) (2000), 51-55.
- [18] J. E. Pečarić, F. Proschan and Y. L. Tong, *Convex Functions, Partial Ordering and Statistical Applications*, Academic Press, New York, 1991.
- [19] M. Z. Sarikaya, E. Set and M. E. Özdemir, On some new inequalities of Hadamard type involving *h*−convex functions, *Acta Math. Univ. Comenian. (N.S.)*, **79** (2010), 265–272.

Email address: faraz.mehmood@duet.edu.pk *Email address*: sumaira.khan@duet.edu.pk *Email address*: faisal.nawaz@duet.edu.pk *Email address*: m.awaisshaikh2o14@gmail.com *Email address*: mateenghaznavi@gmail.com *Email address:* asoleev@yandex.com/asoleev@yandex.ru